UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/807,648	10/807,648 03/24/2004 Gilbert Christopher Sih		030459	3901	
	7590 07/23/200 INCORPORATED	EXAMINER			
5775 MOREHO SAN DIEGO, O	OUSE DR.		SONG, JASMINE		
SAN DIEGO, C	A 92121		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2188		
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			07/23/2008	ELECTRONIC	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

us-docketing@qualcomm.com kascanla@qualcomm.com nanm@qualcomm.com

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/807,648	SIH ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
JASMINE SONG	2188		

	JASMINE SONG	2188				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress			
THE REPLY FILED <u>07 July 2008</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPI	LICATION IN CONDITION FOR AL	LOWANCE.				
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Apper for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 Comperiods:	replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit eal (with appeal fee) in compliance v	t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request			
a) The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date	of the final rejection.					
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire to Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07)	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE f).	g date of the final rejection FIRST REPLY WAS FII	on. LED WITHIN TWO			
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	tension and the corresponding amount of shortened statutory period for reply origing than three months after the mailing date	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Office	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as			
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed w AMENDMENTS	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the				
	and animals the data of filing a baile	will make a setamad ba				
 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, It (a) They raise new issues that would require further conto (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below) 	nsideration and/or search (see NOT w);	E below);				
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bet	ter form for appeal by materially rec	ducing or simplifying th	ne issues for			
appeal; and/or (d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a o	corresponding number of finally reje	ected claims.				
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	, , ,					
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12.5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):		mpliant Amendment (l	PTOL-324).			
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s). 	lowable if submitted in a separate, t	imely filed amendmer	nt canceling the			
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prove The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		be entered and an e	xplanation of			
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:						
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-5,7,10-13,35,38-40,43-46,49 and 52-</u> Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:	<u>54</u> .					
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE	t before on an the date of filing a Nic		. h. a. a. a. a. a. a. a.			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 						
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	ıl and/or appellant fail:	s to provide a			
10.	n of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attach	ed.			
 The request for reconsideration has been considered bu <u>See Continuation Sheet.</u> 	t does NOT place the application in	condition for allowan	ce because:			
12. Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)						
	/Jasmine Song/ Primary Examiner, Art U	nit 2188				

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The amendment (applicant's remarks) filed on 07/07/08 has been considered but does not place the application in condition for allowance because the arguments for claims 1-5,7,10-13,35,38-40,43-46,49 and 52-54 are not persuasive, Examiner maintains the previous office action filed on 05/02/2008. in response to the applicant's remarks (page 11 to page 13) regarding Boyle does not teach "fetching data from an external memory indepentent of cache access of the cache memory, Boyle teaches fetching data from the remote memory is dependent upon a cache access", Examiner disagrees with applicant's interpretation, Boyle clearly shows that non-instruction data can be fetched from DRAM via buffer manager and then the fetched non-instruction data is provided to the micro-controller by passing the cache memory via path 232 in Fig.3 and col.3, lines 54-61, therefore, Boyle's cache system is capable of fetching data from DRAM independent of cache accesses of the cache memory. the rejection of 112, 1st paragraph regarding claims 1, 12 and 14 will be withdraw after reviewing applicant's remarks.